

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSENER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington DC 20231 www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 09/680,858 10/06/2000 Peter Beetham PM49317/272063 9880 04-05-2002 SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK, & SEAS, PLLC EXAMINER 1010 El Camino Real KRUSE, DAVID H Suite 360 Menlo Park, CA 94025 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1638 DATE MAILED: 04/05/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applic	ant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/680,858		BEETHAM ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Un	it	
		David H Kruse	1638		
Period fo	Th MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cov	sh et with the correspo	nd nce address	
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state eply received by the Office later than three months after the maind patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, howe ply within the statutory min d will apply and will expire ute, cause the application to	ever, may a reply be timely filed imum of thirty (30) days will be co SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing b become ABANDONED (35 U.S.	nsidered timely. date of this communication. C. § 133).	
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·			
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)∑	This action is non-fi	nal.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application	on.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) 1-25 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application	on Papers				
9) 🗌 🗆	Γhe specification is objected to by the Examir	ier.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) <u> </u>	he oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or	(f).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been rece	ved in Application No	<u></u> •	
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35	5 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a p	rovisional application).	
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5)	Interview Summary (PTO-413 Notice of Informal Patent App Other:		
S. Patent and Tra PTO-326 (Rev		Action Summary	****	Part of Paper No. 8	

Application/Control Number: 09/680,858

Art Unit: 1638

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:
 - I. Claims 1-11 and 25, drawn to a method of mutating a target DNA sequence of a plant comprising electroporating into a microspore of a Brassica plant and a composition suitable for said method, classified in class 800, subclass 306, for example.
 - II. Claims 12 and 13, drawn to a method of making a localized, non-selectable mutation in a target DNA sequence of a plant comprising introducing a first and second recombinagenic oligonucleobases into a population of microspores, classified in class 800, subclass 278, for example.
 - III. Claims 14-21, drawn to a plant or seed having a mutation in a DNA sequence, classified in class 800, subclass 300, for example.
 - IV. Claims 22 and 23, drawn to a method of altering at least one base of a target DNA sequence of a plant by introducing a mixed duplex oligonucleotide into a microspore, classified in class 800, subclass 292, for example.
 - V. Claim 24, drawn to a plant microspore comprising a mixed duplex oligonucleotide, classified in class 800, subclass 295, for example.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/680,858

Art Unit: 1638

- 2. Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are unrelated because the method of Group I has different method steps and different starting materials than the method of Group II.
- 3. Inventions I and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the plant or seed of Group III can be made in a materially different process than that of Group I, such as by chemical mutagenesis.
- 4. Inventions I and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are unrelated because the method of Group I has different method steps and different starting materials than the method of Group IV.
- 5. Inventions I and V are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the plant microspore of Group V can be made in a materially different method than that of Group I, such as by a biolistic method.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/680,858

Art Unit: 1638

- 6. Inventions II and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the plant or seed of Group III can be made in a materially different process than that of Group II, such as by chemical mutagenesis.
- 7. Inventions II and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are unrelated because the method of Group II has different method steps and different starting materials than the method of Group IV.
- 8. Inventions II and V are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the plant microspore of Group V can be made in a materially different method than that of Group II, such as by a biolistic method.
- 9. Inventions III and IV are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process

Application/Control Number: 09/680,858

Art Unit: 1638

(MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the plant or seed of Group III can be made in a materially different process than that of Group III, such as by chemical mutagenesis.

- 10. Inventions III and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are unrelated because the plant or seed of Group III is structurally, functionally and compositionally distinct from the plant microspore of Group V.
- 11. Inventions IV and V are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the plant microspore of Group V can be made in a materially different method than that of Group IV, such as by a biolistic method.
- 12. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and would require different searches of the art, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 13. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be

Application/Control Number: 09/680,858 Page 6

Art Unit: 1638

accompanied by a request under 37 CFR § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR § 1.17(i).

14. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete within one month (not less than 30 days) must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR § 1.143).

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David H. Kruse, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 306-4539. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Amy Nelson can be reached at (703) 306-3218. The fax telephone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306 Before Final or (703) 872-9307 After Final.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to Kim Davis whose telephone number is (703) 305-3015.

David H. Kruse, Ph.D. 3 April 2002 DAVID T. FOX
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 180 / 6 3 8

Queed 24